

Review Article

A Recent View and Evidence-Based Approach to Oral Care of Intensive Care Patient

Dikmen Yurdanur, RN, PhD

Associate Professor, Sakarya University School of Health Department of Nursing, Sakarya, Turkey

Filiz Nasibe Yagmur, RN

Researcher Assistant, Sakarya University School of Health Department of Nursing, Sakarya, Turkey

Correspondence: Dikmen Yurdanur, Associate Professor, Sakarya University School of Health Department of Nursing, Sakarya, Turkey E mail: nurdem.35@gmail.com

Abstract

Stratified epithelium cells beyond from lips to oropharynx of intensive care patients can be damaged easily because of inadequate perfusion, and insufficient fluid and food intake, and toxicity of medicine. Therefore, providing and maintenance of oral care is vital for intensive care patients in order to avoid the emerging changes in oral mucosa and oral problems which caused by insufficient oral care and ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP). The aim of this work is ensuring critical thinking of nurses by highlighting importance of oral care of intensive care patients and the points to be considered for providing and maintenance of oral mucosa and evidence-based approaches which can be applied to oral care methods for intensive care patients.

Key Words: *Oral Care, Intensive Care Patients, Evidence-Based Approach*

Introduction

There are several reasons why tissue integrity between mouth and its periphery. The first is the medicines for treatment of intensive care patients, the second no fluid or food intake via mouth, the third is existence of endotracheal tube, and plasters which is used for determination and the last one is mouth of patient which remaining open because of endotracheal tube. These factors cause periodontal diseases such as foul breath, xerostomia, cracked lips, stomatitis and so on. Therefore, maintenance of oral mucosa integrity for these patients is important (Abida, 2007).

Plaques which remain in mouth more than 3 days can create hundreds of grams negative bacteria. These bacteria can cause not only infection within mouth but also systematic contamination. Also, access of bacteria to respiratory tract due to endotracheal tube becomes easier especially for patients in intensive care who are associated with ventilation. That cause to spoils cough reflex, mucosal activity and then, increasing of secretion

happens. In this case, formation of plaque in teeth and changes in mouth flora occur because gram negative bacteria take place of gram positive bacteria which creates normal flora of mouth. Change in mouth flora of patients in intensive care who are associated with ventilation increases risk of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) (Atar, 2014). According to researches, VAP takes first place among reason of death caused by nosocomial infections.

Mortality changes between %33 and %50. According to recent researches on intensive care patients, progress of VAP is an important problem which increase maintenance cost and duration of hospital stay (Atay & Karabacak, 2014; Munro & Grap, 2004). One of the solution offers to avoid progress of VAP is that apply a good oral care to patients especially who are associated with ventilation (Palloş & Sendir, 2011).

Consequently, oral care management of intensive care patients in order to maintain oral health needs awareness. In order to apply this enhance

work to increase patient's standard of care nurses should apply oral care elaborately.

Oral Care Management

Oral care management includes four elements which are, an efficient mouth assessment, choosing proper equipment for oral care, oral care solutions and frequency of oral care.

a) Oral Assessment

Effective oral health care requires decent diagnosis. Assessment of in-mouth gives important information to the health care team in terms of efficient treatment and process of complications. In literature, there is an emphasis on nurses' usage of oral care guides and organizing regular educations (Cutler & Davis, 2005).

One of the tools created for oral assessment, "Eliers oral assessment guide" which is created by Eliers (1998), is commonly used in clinics. Oral assessment tool which is created by Eliers in 1987 has 8 categories which are voice, swallowing, lips, tongue, saliva, mucous membrane, gingiva and teeth. Every category graded as normal, light and complete (Eliers et al., 1987). Validity of oral assessment guide proved by Anderson in 1999 in terms of determination of changes in-mouth and needs of oral care (Anderson et al., 1999). However, because we cannot test voice and swallowing of patients who are intubated, assessment is made without these categories (Ames et al., 2011).

In addition to this tool, "Beck in-mouth assessment guide" and "Jenkins oral assessment tool" can be used as oral assessment tools as mentioned in literature. Oral assessment tool which is created by Becks in 1979 patients are evaluated in terms of lip, gingival, oral mucosa, tongue, teeth and saliva. This assessment system grades from one to four points. Beck's oral assessment tool is given in Table 1 in details. It is suggested that nurses in intensive care units use this guide for complete assessment of oral mucosa as a diagnosis tool. There are some assessment guidance parts including trials and applications of handling oral problems whose intensive care patients. According to the study made by Prendergast and his/her friends (2013), this guide has oral care protocols, and by using this guide on intensive care patients, it is stated that rates of VAP, and the costs decreased to a some important point (Prendergast, Kleiman & King, 2013).

According to the literature again, usage of these oral care protocols in intensive care units leads to more effective feedbacks of treatments done by nurses. There is a following example for nurses how to use these oral care protocols.

b) Materials Used for Oral Care

Oral care materials should be assessed in terms of benefits, harms, conveniences and features (removing plaques) of usage. Materials used for oral care are summarized at Table 3.

c) Solutions that are used for oral care:

Solutions that are used for oral care should be evaluated considering not irritating the mucosa, not to dry it, and to remove plaques while choosing them (Miller & Kearney, 2001). Comparisons of the solutions that can be used for oral care in Table 4.

d) What should be the frequency of oral care practice?

There is no evidence in literature about frequency of oral care practice. However, in the study of Berry and his/her friends (2011) suggest that oral care with tooth brush should be made twice a day. However conducted studies show that nurses mostly practice oral care in every four hours (Berry et al., 2011). In addition, daily assessment of oral mucosa is important to intensive care patients' oral care frequency. Therefore, data which is obtained by assessment of daily assessment of oral mucosa will guide nurses to determine oral care frequency.

Evidence-Based Approach for Oral Care

If the nursing care is based on evidence, some important facts like improvement of care quality and care results, making a difference clinical practices, standardization of care, increasing pleasure of nurses will bring about. Level of evidence in can be put in order like below;

- 1) Evidence A: Strong evidence which is obtained by at least one systematic research from well-designed randomized controlled trials (RCT).
- 2) Evidence B: Strong evidence which is obtained from at least one RCT.
- 3) Evidence C: Evidence which is obtained by time series and case control studies which are well-designed but not randomize, including pre-post evaluation, cohort, and made with single group.

- 4) Evidence D: Evidence which is obtained by designs which are not experimental and made by couple of research centers or groups. After reviewing the literature and compiling the researches about oral care, evidence-based practices are summarized at Table 5.
- 5) View of authorities (Brown et al., 2009).

Table 1: Beck's Oral Assessment Tool

CATEGORIES	POINTS			
	1	2	3	4
Lips	Smooth, moist and not cracked	Red, somewhat dry	Dry, swollen, slim pocks	Edematous, inflamed pocks
Gums and mucosa	Smooth, moist and not cracked	Faint, dry, isolated lesions	Swollen, red	Inflamed, very dry and edematous
Tongue	Smooth, moist and not cracked	Dry, visible papilla	Dry, swollen, red with papilla lesions	Very dry, edematous, swollen lesions
Teeth	Clean, no debris	Small amount of debris exists	Moderate amount of debris exists	Full of debris
Saliva	Light, succulent and abundant	Increasing on its amount	Insufficient and a bit dense	Very dense and sticky
Total Points	5 points No disfunctions At least every 12 hours oral care	6-10 points Slight disfunction At least every 8-12 hours oral care	11-15 points Moderate amount of disfunction At least every 8 hours oral care	16-20 points Serious amount of disfunction At least every 4 hours oral care

Explanations:

- 0–5 points= Do the oral assessment once a day. Perform the systematically prepared oral care protocol twice a day.
- 6–10 points= Do the oral assessment twice a day. Moisturize the lips and mucosa every 4 hours. Perform the systematically prepared oral care protocol at least twice a day.
- 11–15 points= Do the oral assessment every 8-12 hours. Perform the systematically prepared oral care protocol at least every 8 hours. Use a soft toothbrush. Moisturize the lips and mucosa every 2 hours.
- 16–20 points= Do the oral assessment and the oral care protocol every 4 hours. If you can't brush your teeth, use a wrapped up gauze pad. Moisturize the lips and mucosa every 1-2 hours. (Beck, 1979).

Table 2: Guide to Solve Problem: Oral Assessment

Problem	Possible reasons	Repression	Suggested Attempts
Vestibule of mouth (all sections, especially tongue) Colonization by microorganisms, especially <i>Candida albicans</i> (generally known as <i>Aphta</i>). <i>Candida</i> (mushroom) typically presents in oral mucosa and over tongue a white layer.	Defected immunity system Not taking food from mouth	Moustrizing the oral mucosa regularly	Registration Managing the given prescription of anti-microbial treatment. (<i>Candida</i> is generally treated with Nystatin. 1ml is applied 4 times per a day. It should be used after mouth cleaning.)
Labrum Ulceration (split)	Dryness, giving un-moisturized Oxygen via face-mask The damage by endotracheal tube	Applying soft and yellow greasers like paraffin Giving moisturized Oxygen via face-mask Controlling the possible tools that may cause to ulcer (like endotracheal tube)	Registration Increasing the times of lips care.
Teeth Damaged (like broken) Bruises	Trauma Bad mouth hygiene	Cleaning with toothpaste and toothbrush (generally twice a day)	Registration-including check-out planning from the hospital.
Gingiva Bleeding	Trauma Bad mouth hygiene	While cleaning teeth, toothbrush should be used with an angle of 45 degree.	Registration-including check-out planning from the hospital.
Saliva Excessive Absence Over concentration/density	Oral tools (like endotracheal tube) Dehydration	In case of oral dryness, moisturizing the oral mucosa.	Increasing the times of moisturizing the oral mucosa
Tongue Dark color Dry	Bad perfusion Dehydration	Bad perfusion and dehydration are systemic problems that must be treated.	Registration and reporting.
Rigorous uraniscus Bleeding Ulceration	Trauma	-	Registration and reporting.
Mild tissue Necrosis	Bad perfusion	-	Registration and reporting.

Table 3: Materials Used for Oral Care

MATERIAL	USAGE	ASSESSMENT
Toothbrush	Intensive care patients usually use pediatric tooth brushes.	By minimizing remains of plaque, mucus and bacteria by accessing all areas of the mouth
Toothbrush with Oral Aspiration Tool	It makes aspiration and brushing teeth possible and keeps oral mucosa healthy For Patients are associated with mechanical ventilation.	It provides patency of the airway and keeps bacteria out by removing plaques, mucus and bacteria in mouth. Therefore, the risk of pneumonia aspiration and infection is minimized. Conducted researches show that Toothbrush with Oral Aspiration Tool is important to avoid process of VAP for the patients who are associated with mechanic ventilator (Fields, 2008; Pearson & Hutton, 2002).
Sponge-stick	It used for the purpose of cleansing and moisturizing of oral mucosa.	It should be use once in two hour but it is not efficient to remove plaques. Although there is no certain evidence in literature, while oral care practicing with sponge-stick, the patient can bite off sponge side. Then, safety of the patient can be under risk (Berry & Davidson, 2006).
Sponge-stick with Oral Aspiration Tool	It is effective for evocation of In-mouth mucosal tissue.	Sponge-stick with Oral Aspiration Tool ensures hygiene of mouth by making aspiration.
Tongue depressor	A single use small piece of wood for oral care	It cannot clean plaques in mouth, but it is used for cleansing and moisturizing the oral mucosa.

Source: (Atar, 2014; Ozveren, 2010; Abidia, 2007).

Table 4: Compare of Solutions Used in Oral Care

Solutions	Advantages	Counter-indications
Normal saline	Powerful, economic, do not harm oral mucosa, ensure healing	Further investigations are needed
Hydrogen peroxide	Anti-bacterial effective. It helps to clean effectively, and to remove dental plates in mouth.	It mustn't be applied over granulation tissue. It leads to mushroom infections because it defects normal flora. It makes oral mucosa dry and burn. The taste of it is not good. It leads to burn of mouth, feel pain and superficial burns.
Sodium bicarbonate	Provides incision.	May cause irritation. May cause bacteria procreation. Taste is not good. May cause oral mucosa burns. Evidences are not sufficient for the usage of palliative care.
Chlorheksidin	It has a wide spectrum, and it is anti-microbial effective. Effective anti-plate feature It prevents to form oral mucosa.	It may cause color changes on teeth with a long-term usage. It may cause oral mucosa peeling. It may cause gingiva bleeding. Taste is not good. It may cause oral mucosa burning and disturbing.
Prepared mouth churning solutions (commercial products)	It is easy to get because no prescription is needed.	It mustn't be used the solutions including glycerin and alcohol-lemon due to the cause of irritation, superficial burns, and mucosal dry.
Water	It is a solution that decreases oral dryness to lowest point, and it is cheap.	Sterilized water should be used because tap water at hospitals leads to grow Pseudomonas up (Yoneyama et al., 2002).
Green tea	It prevents erosion and abrasion over the surface of teeth. It significantly reduces the rate of pathogenic effects of Streptococcus and lactobacil.	There is no any investigation on counter-indications. So, further researches are needed (Lin , 2014).

Table 5: Evidence Based Practices for Oral Care

EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICES	LEVEL OF EVIDENCE	RESEARCHES
Efficient health care avoids VAP	Evidence C	Bingham et al., 2010 Houston, 2002 Koeman et al., 2006
Efficient and proper usage of oral care protocols increases quality of oral care.	Evidence D	Cason et al, 2007 Garcia et al, 2009
Intensive care patients' assessment of oral care should include teeth, gingiva, tongue, mucous membrane and lips.	Evidence D	Garcia et al., 2009
Usage of soft tooth brush cleans plaques and food remains and decreases amount of germs	Evidence C	Needleman, 2011
There is no evidence about supremacy among oral care solutions. Usage of chlorhexidine gluconate is an exception at rate of %0.12 among patients undergoing cardiac surgery.	Evidence A	Genuit et al., 2001 Houston et al., 2002
Tap water should not be used for oral care to intensive care patients..	Evidence C	Anaissie, Penzak & Dignani, 2002; Muscarella et al., 2004
Using aspirin from hypoglossal avoids process of VAP during oral care.	Evidence A	Tablan et al., 2004
Tooth brush should be used at least twice in a day for oral care.	View of authorities	Garcia et al., 2009
Time of brushing teeth should made with tooth brush which can be all-inclusive around at least 3-4 minutes.	View of authorities	Fields, 2008

Conclusion

In intensive care units oromucosal integrity is important in order to avoid process of periodontal illness, foul breath, xerostomia, cracked lips and stomatitis. Also, an efficient oral care which is a nursing care is really important to avoid VAP. However, there is no standardization to assess oral care. There is no standardization about frequency of oral care, proper solution and material. Practical guides which are efficient and proper to time management in order to achieve positive results should be created and improved to be applied by nurses. Also, there is a need of experimental and high evidence valuable researches about oral care materials, solutions and frequency for efficient oral care.

References

- Abidia, RF. (2007). Oral care in the intensive care unit: a review. *Journal Contemp Dentist Practice*, 8(1): 76-82.
- Ames, NJ., Sulima, P., Yates, JM., McCullagh, L., Gollins, SL., Soeken, K. & Wallen, GR. (2011). Effects of Systematic Oral Care in Critically Ill Patients: A Multicenter Study. *American Journal of Critical Care*, 20(5): e103–e114
- Andersson, P., Persson, L., Hallberg, I. R., & Renvert, S. (1999). Testing an oral assessment guide during chemotherapy treatment in a Swedish care setting: a pilot study. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 8(2): 150-158.
- Anaissie, E. J., Penzak, S.R., & Dignani, M.C. (2002). The hospital water supply as a source of nosocomial infections: a plea for action. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 162(13): 1483-1492.
- Atar, N.Y., (2014). Adult Basic problems and basic nursing care in Intensive Care Patients, Nobel Tıp Kitapevi, Istanbul, Turkey.

- Atay, S. & Karabacak, U., (2014). Oral care in patients on mechanical ventilation in intensive care unit: literature review. *International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, 2 (3): 822-829.
- Beck, S., (1979). Impact of a systematic oral care protocol on stomatitis after chemotherapy. *Cancer Nursing*, 2(3): 185-200.
- Berry, A. M., & Davidson, P. M. (2006). Beyond comfort: oral hygiene as a critical nursing activity in the intensive care unit. *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing*, 22(6): 318-328.
- Berry, A. M., Davidson, P. M., Nicholson, L., Pasqualotto, C., & Rolls, K. (2011). Consensus based clinical guideline for oral hygiene in the critically ill. *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing*, 27(4):180-185.
- Berry, A. M. (2013). A comparison of Listerine and sodium bicarbonate oral cleansing solutions on dental plaque colonisation and incidence of ventilator associated pneumonia in mechanically ventilated patients: A randomised control trial. *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing*, 29(5): 275-281.
- Bingham, M., Ashley, J., De Jong, M., & Swift, C. (2010). Implementing a unit-level intervention to reduce the probability of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Nursing Research*, 59(1): 40-47.
- Brown, C. E., Wickline, M. A., Ecoff, L., & Glaser, D. (2009). Nursing practice, knowledge, attitudes and perceived barriers to evidence-based practice at an academic medical center. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 65(2): 371-381.
- Cason, C. L., Tyner, T., Saunders, S., & Broome, L. (2007). Nurses' implementation of guidelines for ventilator-associated pneumonia from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *American Journal of Critical Care*, 16(1): 28-37.
- Cutler, C. J., & Davis, N. (2005). Improving oral care in patients receiving mechanical ventilation. *American Journal of Critical Care*, 14(5): 389-394.
- Cutler, L. R., & Sluman, P. (2014). Reducing ventilator associated pneumonia in adult patients through high standards of oral care: A historical control study. *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing*, 30(2): 61-68.
- Eilers, J., Berger, A. M., & Petersen, M. C. (1987). Development, testing, and application of the oral assessment guide. In *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 15(3): 325-330.
- Ferrazzano, G. F., Roberto, L., Amato, I., Cantile, T., Sangianantoni, G., & Ingenito, A. (2011). Antimicrobial properties of green tea extract against cariogenic microflora: an in vivo study. *Journal of Medicinal Food*, 14(9): 907-911.
- Fields, L. (2008). Oral Care Intervention to Reduce Incidence of Ventilator- Associated Pneumonia in the Neurologic Intensive Care Unit. *Journal of Neuroscience Nursing*, 40(5): 291-298.
- Fitch, J. A., Munro, C. L., Glass, C. A., & Pellegrini, J. M. (1999). Oral care in the adult intensive care unit. *American Journal of Critical Care*, 8: 314-318.
- Fourrier, F., Duvivier, B., Boutigny, H., Roussel-Delvallez, M., & Chopin, C. (1998). Colonization of dental plaque: a source of nosocomial infections in intensive care unit patients. *Critical Care Medicine*, 26(2): 301-308.
- Garcia, R. (2005). A review of the possible role of oral and dental colonization on the occurrence of health care-associated pneumonia: underappreciated risk and a call for interventions. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 33(9): 527-541.
- Garcia, R., Jendresky, L., Colbert, L., Bailey, A., Zaman, M., & Majumder, M. (2009). Reducing ventilator-associated pneumonia through advanced oral-dental care: a 48-month study. *American Journal of Critical Care*, 18(6): 523-532.
- Genuit, T., Bochicchio, G., Napolitano, L. M., McCarter, R. J., & Roghman, M. C. (2001). Prophylactic chlorhexidine oral rinse decreases ventilator-associated pneumonia in surgical ICU patients. *Surgical Infections*, 2(1): 5-18.
- Houston, S., Hougland, P., Anderson, J. J., LaRocco, M., Kennedy, V., & Gentry, L. O. (2002). Effectiveness of 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinse in reducing prevalence of nosocomial pneumonia in patients undergoing heart surgery. *American Journal of Critical Care*, 11(6): 567-570.
- Lin, H. L., Yang, L. Y., & Lai, C. C. (2014). Factors related to compliance among critical care nurses with performing oral care protocols for mechanically ventilated patients in the intensive care unit. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 42(5): 533-535.
- Koeman, M., Van der ven, A. J., Hak, E., Joore, H. C., Kaasjager, K., de Smet, A. G, Hustinx, W. N. (2006). Oral decontamination with chlorhexidine reduces the incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, 173(12): 1348-1355.
- Munro, C. L. & Grap, M. J. (2004). Oral health and care in the intensive care unit: state of the science. *American Journal of Critical Care*, 13(1): 25-34.
- Muscarella, L. F. (2004). Contribution of tap water and environmental surfaces to nosocomial transmission of antibiotic-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Infection Control*, 25(04): 342-345.
- Miller, M. & Kearney, N. (2001). Oral Care for Patients With Cancer: A Review of the literature. *Cancer Nursing*, 24: 241-254.
- Needleman, I. G., Hirsch, N. P., Leemans, M., Moles, D. R., Wilson, M., Ready, D. R. & Garner, A. (2011). Randomized controlled trial of toothbrushing to reduce ventilator-associated pneumonia pathogens and dental plaque in a critical care unit. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 38(3): 246-252.
- Ozveren, H. (2010). The determination of Three Different Oral Care Tools' Effect on Oral Mucosal

- Health of the Patients Under Mechanical Ventilation. Hacettepe University, Institute of Health Sciences. Thesis in Fundamentals of Nursing Program, Ankara.
- Palloş, A. Ö., & Sendir, M. (2012). Oral Care As a Patient Safety Topics in the Neurosurgical Intensive Care Unit. *Florence Nightingale Hemşirelik Dergisi*, 20: 3.
- Pearson, L & Hutton, JA (2002). Controlled Trial To Compare The Ability of Foam Swabs And Toothbrushes To Remove Dental Plaque. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 39(5): 480-489.
- Prendergast, V., Kleiman, C., & King, M. (2013). The Bedside Oral Exam and the Barrow Oral Care Protocol: translating evidence-based oral care into practice. *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing*, 29(5): 282-290.
- Tablan, O. C., Anderson, L. J., Besser, R., Bridges, C., & Hajjeh, R. (2004). Guidelines for preventing healthcare-associated pneumonia. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 53: 1-36.
- Yoneyama, T., Yoshida, M., Ohru, T., Mukaiyama, H., Okamoto, H., Hoshiya, K. & Mizuno, Y. (2002). Oral care reduces pneumonia in older patients in nursing home. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 50(3): 430-433.